



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,187	04/03/2006	Toshiaki Shimizu	DUMME55.003/APC	4556
29695	7590	12/26/2008		
KNOBBE MARLENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN STREET			AHMED, MASUD	
FOURTEENTH FLOOR				
IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
12/26/2008	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com
eOAPilot@kmob.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/533,187	Applicant(s) SHIMIZU ET AL.
	Examiner MASUD AHMED	Art Unit 3714

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 April 2005.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0/26/07, 4/3/06

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

IDS

Examiner has considered the IDS submitted by the applicant on 04/03/06 and 6/26/07.

Response to Amendment

Examiner has considered the preliminary amendment submitted by the applicant on 4/28/2005.

Claim Objections

1. **Claim 20** is objected to because of the following informalities: On claim 20 reference b) line 7 a period (.) is used, however it does not seem to be the end of the claim 20, therefore it is improper to use a period in the middle of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. **Claims 1, 3-13, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Luciano (US 6, 705, 944) prior publication date Feb 6, 2003.**

Regarding claim 1, Luciano teaches a game machine with base game and bonus game having following limitations:

A gaming machine operable to play a base game and a second game (abstract);
gaming machine including a first display adapted to display progress and results of said base game (FIG 1), displays the base game;
gaming machine further including a second display adapted to display progress and results of said second game(FIG 1), also shows the second game or the bonus game display;
base game and said second game arranged to be played such that selected ones of outcomes of said second game affect play of said base game (col 2, lines 54-65),
explains the alteration of the base game results,
whilst the outcome of said second game is independent of the outcome of said base game (col 3, lines 1-4).

Regarding claims 3-4, Luciano teaches various award can be obtained by the base game and the second game such as free spins award, credit award or jackpot award (col 3, lines 2-7,col 10, lines 66-67).

Regarding claims 5-6, Luciano teaches both base and second game to be symbol-driven game (FIG 1 shows the base game with spinning reels and second game with the wheel spinning reels with symbols).

Regarding claims 7-8, Luciano teaches both base game reels and second game wheel is sequentially controlled (col 5, lines 44-48).

Regarding claim 9, Luciano discloses the sequence of progress of second game is interleaved with the sequence of the base game (FIG 2, wheels shows the losing a spin or try again), which means player win nil or lose a spin with nil winning.

Regarding claims 10-11, Luciano discloses the wheel comes to a stop to determine the win and further depending on winning such as re-reel spin, initiates the base game reel-spin while wheel stay paused (FIG 2, col 8, lines 5-67), shows how the base game and the second game is played concurrently.

Regarding claim 12, Luciano teaches play of a base game initiate the play of the second game which are played concurrently (col 8, lines 5-45).

Regarding claim 13, Luciano teaches the game a machine includes the array of multiple reels (FIG 1).

Regarding claim 17, Luciano teaches the win is determined according to a predetermined disposition of symbols when the reels reach a stationary state at the end of the second game (col 8, lines 5-55).

Regarding claim 19, Luciano teaches initiating the base game and the second game substantially simultaneously in sequence to increase the probability of the winning of the base game by the effect of the second game (col 8, lines 5-65), Luciano discloses how the base game initiate the wheel to spin, which is the second game then the result from the second game cause the reel to re-spin in the base game to alter the result of the base game.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. **Claims 14-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luciano as cited above.**

Regarding claims 14-16, Luciano discloses base game has multiple reels with numbers of sectors displayed, further Luciano teaches second game has a multiple concentric wheels which is an alternative to the reels and designed in similar fashion with various symbols thereon (FIG 2), however Luciano is silent on disclosing an additional reel with an open able aperture. It is evident by the teachings of Luciano such as Wild symbol wheel on FIG 2 which can be considered as an additional reel for the second game. This is an obvious addition to the art of slot machine and merely an

alternative to the teaching of Luciano, therefore it would have been obvious to ordinary skilled artisan at the time the invention was made to include an additional hidden symbol to create an additional winning symbol combination to create excitement or simply as an alternative.

Regarding claim 18, In addition to rationale provided above on claims 14-16, Luciano teaches the base game initiate the second game, which can be considered as wheel spins on the second game after the starting of the base game.

5. Claims 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luciano as cited above, in view of Cannon et al (US 6, 652, 378).

Regarding claim 20, In addition to the teachings of claim 1 above, Luciano teaches initialization of the second game after the base game, however Luciano is silent on disclosing triggering the second game at the initialization of the base game. Cannon teach game system and method for playing multiple games simultaneously on one game machine with various display windows, wherein a base game and a bonus game also can be concurrently or simultaneously can be played (col 5, lines 29-40 , FIG 2). Simultaneous operation of multiple games are known in the art, therefore it would have been obvious to ordinary skilled artisan at the time of invention to modify Luciano's system to initiate second game instantly instead of waiting for the trigger symbol as an alternative choice of sequential game play as taught by Luciano's disclosure.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MASUD AHMED whose telephone number is (571)270-1315. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 10:00am-7:00pm, Alt Fri, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571 272 4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John M Hotaling II/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714

/M. A./
Examiner, Art Unit 3714

Application/Control Number: 10/533,187
Art Unit: 3714

Page 8